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ABSTRACT This paper suggests that what may appear to be insoluble problems of urban poverty and exclusion in Asian cities can be solved; and that the greatest force to do this already exists, in rough form, in the people who experience that poverty and exclusion themselves and have the greatest motivation to change it. It notes how most government programmes and formal development interventions ignore this force or seek to suppress it, so it remains a potential, not an actual, force for change. The paper describes how the Asian Coalition for Community Action (ACCA) programme, using a few simple tools and conditions and a modest, flexible budget, is trying to unlock that force at scale, opening up new space, new collaborations and new possibilities that are beginning to resolve these problems. The paper describes several of the tools and conditions that are part of the ACCA intervention – the support for collective processes, partnerships, finance and land tenure; for many initiatives on the ground; for moving to work at city scale; for communities prioritizing what gets support; and for building a platform for negotiation and partnership in each city. These are helping people to solve their problems and pave their own literal and metaphorical pathways to freedom, and to legitimate and valued citizenship in their cities.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The Indian economist and Nobel laureate Amartya Sen describes development as “...a process of expanding the real freedoms that people enjoy.”[1] It is hard to imagine how Asia’s urban poor could be less free than they are or more thoroughly excluded from Asia’s prosperity and new democratic openings: looked down upon by their local governments and better-off neighbours as illegals in their homes and livelihoods; denied access to the city’s most basic entitlements; evicted, demolished, pushed outside the boundaries of all that is considered legal and legitimate because they cannot afford formal market housing. Nobody can enjoy those freedoms without decent housing and secure tenure, without access to clean water or without the ability to take part in the life of their cities. Some 600 million Asians are now living in squalor and insecurity in urban informal settlements. Despite all the poverty reduction programmes, the housing initiatives, the development theories, the billions being poured into urban development and the efforts of governments and development professionals, their problems are not being addressed. Many development
agencies or professionals now ignore a problem that seems insoluble, while others call for nothing less than a revolution in the entire social, political and economic order.

We argue that it is possible to solve these problems and the greatest and potentially most effective problem-solving force already exists, within those “slum”(2) settlements. The energy, resourcefulness and motivation of low-income households and communities to bring about change in their own lives constitute a substantive problem-solving force. But it is a force that is constantly being constrained, coerced, co-opted and suppressed by mainstream development practice. As a result, the urban poor themselves end up believing that they have no power to make change.

The Asian Coalition for Community Action (the ACCA programme) whose different aspects are described in other papers in this issue is an attempt to liberate that force. It seeks to do so by finding ways to help low-income and otherwise disadvantaged communities take action to tackle and solve these problems themselves, in their own ways, at scale and by taking action to liberate themselves, to make their own freedom. After three years, the programme is showing that this is possible, and how a few simple tools and conditions and a very modest budget – that comes with a clear direction but without imposing a model – can unlock that force at scale, opening up new space, new collaborations and new possibilities that are beginning to resolve these enormous problems (Box 1). This paper examines some of these tools and conditions that are part of the ACCA intervention, and looks at how they are helping people to solve their problems and pave their own pathways to free, legitimate and valued citizenship in their cities.

II. PATHWAYS TO FREEDOM

As noted in Boonyabancha and Mitlin,(3) one of the most striking aspects of the small projects supported by ACCA in its first two years is the number of communities that chose to build paved roads and pathways; of the 543 small projects, 126 were roads and pathways (23 per cent of the total).

Why are so many communities deciding on this kind of project? A road is used by and benefits everyone in the community; it is a communal improvement. But besides providing access, a road – even a very narrow one – provides a common open space in a crowded community, which can function as a playground, meeting place, market, workshop or festival venue. A block of toilets or a water supply system can certainly improve conditions but a paved road has a greater symbolic power to change both internal and external perceptions of a community. A community with a proper road is part of the larger society. Most informal settlements are isolated, even when they are in the middle of a city. A paved road is a visible improvement and a potent symbol of connectedness, physically and symbolically linking the quasi-invisible community with the formal city. A good road also makes a strategic pioneering improvement, since other municipal services such as piped water and electricity often follow.

The ACCA programme begins with the belief that the poor are our equals in the truest and most human sense, as full of talents and foibles, dreams and history, ideas and resourcefulness. The energy and creativity with which the urban poor manage to feed, clothe and house their families, in extremely difficult circumstances and with no help from anyone,
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BOX 1
Three pathways to freedom and equality

Samrong Thmey community in Samrong, Cambodia: This community of 224 dilapidated wood and bamboo houses in the centre of Samrong is close to the public market, where most of the residents work as vendors. Resident since the end of the Pol Pot period, nobody has land papers and the community has faced eviction threats for years. The people used a grant of US$ 3,000 from ACCA to raise and resurface 795 metres of dirt road within the settlement and to lay underground drains alongside – using all community labour. This was their first step in an upgrading project that has since expanded to housing improvements and has become a model for redeveloping other poor settlements in Samrong. After long negotiations, the government agreed to give the land to the people, with collective land title.

Talanay Creekside community in Quezon City, Philippines: These 33 households are part of a large squatter settlement covering a steep hillside in Barangay Batasan Hills. Getting into the settlement is dangerous, especially in the rainy season, when there is persistent erosion and the lanes become open drains. Ignored by the local council, the women in the savings group decided to build a walkway, with US$ 3,130 support from ACCA (which they managed as a low-interest loan to the savings group). The walkway, which took a month to build, has encouraged members to save more and others to join the savings group, and there are now plans to extend the walkway. Children have a place to play and the walkway has become the community’s main social and recreational space. Tenure is still uncertain, but the barangay officials were invited to cut the ribbon and have now become regular visitors to the community.

Nong Duang Thung community in Vientiane, Lao PDR: This squatter community of 84 houses is on government land within an area where land is being leased out to foreign investors to build high class apartment blocks and commercial developments. When the eviction notices came, the people used support from ACCA as part of their negotiations to secure their land. After surveying and mapping the settlement, expanding the savings group and developing a re-blocking plan, they formed a district level committee with the local Women’s Union. Eventually they were able to negotiate the country’s first case of an urban poor community being given a long-term lease to the public land they already occupied. But the residents were reluctant to believe in their collective process until they had built a new road through the settlement, as the first step in their upgrading.

in such extremely difficult circumstances; and with a recognition that the thoughts and actions of the urban poor are valid, that they are capable and that they have the potential to lead their own change process from the very beginning. But their environment makes realizing that potential extremely difficult; the space for them to start making change is not ready, and various factors keep their energy and resourcefulness in check. The urban poor need support so that they can recognize, capture and channel their ability and strength to achieve better living conditions, stronger and more nurturing communities, greater legitimacy and full citizenship.

The question is not how to “train” the urban poor or change their behaviour but rather, to identify how development interventions can nurture and develop the strength that already exists, letting people make change. Paving roads is one of many ways in which communities are making these changes and claiming that freedom; but the road making is symbolic of what the ACCA programme is trying to do, assisting communities to strengthen their own process of making themselves visible in their cities and paving their own pathways (both literally and metaphorically) to freedom and better lives.

III. COLLECTIVE ACTION, COLLECTIVE CHANGE

It is in the nature of poverty that poor people cannot survive alone, for as individuals they have no power. Individualism may work for the affluent and the middle class, and it may be the prevailing social and economic paradigm of our commercial age, but it is not the way of the poor. Their communities, their families, their support networks and their survival systems all operate on the principle of mutual help and represent a kind of rough collectivism – a collectivism that is essential to getting on in a harsh and unequal city without much money. The only way they can attain all the things they need is by helping each other and by working together in a collective process, in which the power of their numbers takes the place of the missing power of money.

The power of those collective support systems that poor people create and utilize to resolve their needs is actually another kind of wealth – a social wealth. For the poor on the ground, the collectivity, the relationships and the interdependence that make up this social wealth are all quite natural. This collectivity in poor communities is not perfect but it is one of their greatest assets in moving beyond the current reality of their lives. Any intervention that is individualistic, suggesting that people only need to think about and address their individual problems, is not going to bring about any significant change. These kinds of individually targeted and welfare-oriented programmes, in which the principal relationship is between the outside agency and the individual beneficiary, end up damaging this collective social wealth as they divide individuals or communities into mutually resentful camps of beneficiaries and non-beneficiaries.

Those involved in ACCA have found that getting those social relationships in communities to work better, and building them into a new strength is relatively easy when that powerful strength is explicitly channelled into concrete development activities that people do together. ACCA activities, planned and undertaken by the residents of informal settlements themselves, all emphasize this collectivity and strengthen it in different ways:
• **Collective information collection:** All the citywide slum surveys, settlement mapping and vacant land searches that ACCA supports are done collectively, and they look at all the communities in the city together, not separately.

• **Collective defining of the problems** in settlements and cities, by communities themselves, is a powerful way to break the isolating vision of only “my house” or “my community” and helps people to begin to understand that they are not alone, that the problems they face are shared by others and are structural problems that affect the whole city.

• **Collective searching for shared solutions,** whose burdens are shared by members of the communities. These solutions also need to be shared by other institutions – such as government and other local stakeholders.

• **Collective community organizations:** the formation and strengthening of community networks is a key strategy. The urban poor become visible through the collective organizations they create – institutions such as community-based savings groups, homeowners’ associations and citywide community networks. This kind of collectivity is visible and accepted by others; it offers a kind of legitimacy.

• **Collective finance systems:** ACCA supports the forming and strengthening of savings groups and the establishment of community development funds that link savings groups across the city. The savings groups bring together individual families – and their resources – into a collective economic force that is larger than the sum of its parts. Likewise, the city-based community development funds that are managed collectively by the community networks and other stakeholders link together the savings groups and develop a bigger financial tool that belongs collectively to all the communities.

• **Collective partnerships:** ACCA supports the creation of citywide platforms of sharing and collaboration between the urban poor and different stakeholders. Cultivating productive, working partnerships with local government and with other local stakeholders is not something that poor people can do on their own. But as collective networks of poor communities, with their savings and the power of large numbers, they become viable development partners and collaboration is consolidated by jointly undertaking upgrading and housing projects.

• **Collective claiming of rights as legitimate citizens:** Abstract entitlements such as housing rights and citizenship are not going to be provided to the urban poor as individuals. They have to be claimed by the urban poor, as a collective force, through the concrete activities that bring them land and housing and access to the city’s services.

• **Collective land tenure:** The ACCA loans for housing projects have also helped many communities to develop collective land tenure arrangements. In 17 of the 65 housing projects supported by ACCA in the first two years, communities opted for collective land tenure (leasehold or ownership). This ensures that a housing project continues to be a vital and sustaining support system for its members and protects them from the market.
IV. STARTING IMMEDIATELY WITH MANY CONCRETE ACTIVITIES

Donor funding for “software”, such as capacity building, training, meetings and human rights awareness raising, is often much easier to obtain than funds to support any real material projects to improve housing and neighbourhoods – the “hardware”. Despite training and capacitating, communities are seldom able to move to change-making action that takes some concrete form. ACCA began with the “hardware”, allowing large numbers of small but concrete projects to be implemented by people in each city. These people are also engaged in many other ways, surveying and mapping their settlements, setting up savings groups and city development funds, organizing meetings to share stories, set priorities, make plans and discuss which communities are in most need of what upgrading projects, negotiating with the city for land, for resources and for various kinds of support, and implementing housing projects. All these activities address real needs and create a powerful organizational and political momentum, both within communities and in the city as a whole.

All these activities, which allow people to take action and to deliver improvements right away, are ways to organize people and help them believe in themselves, believe in their friends and believe in their own ways of doing things. When people are part of a process that affirms that they are strong and capable and part of something that is recognized and significant, it makes them grow. This growth has many dimensions: human growth, economic growth (through the savings and city funds) and social growth (through the social linkages, joint ventures and networks that are forged). For people used to being looked down on, with little social acceptance and few sources of protection, taking active part in these activities offers an important validation of their social worth.

Making real equality happen at the community level. Even low-income, marginalized communities often replicate the feudal, top-down systems that define the larger societies of which they are part. These vertical systems are the only ones that people experience, and development interventions frequently reinforce these inequitable systems rather than neutralize them. Initiating many activities and projects that create a horizontal space for mass participation and offer large numbers of people different opportunities to be involved is a powerful technique for undermining the vertical culture. While these activities do not directly challenge the larger inequities, once people begin to work collectively then relationships reorganize, new leaders emerge and the social patterns almost always begin to shift.

Helping people internalize abstract concepts through concrete action. Rights, citizenship, structural change or the importance of taking a citywide approach are abstract concepts that initially have little meaning for community people. But when the poor in a city come together, understand their problems and decide what to do, the abstract concepts are demystified. Actions and activities on the ground help to interpret the abstract and enable alternative values to be internalized. Taking part in a savings group, for example, helps people understand what it means to develop their own resources and manage and benefit from those resources collectively, with leadership accountability.
Awakening the demand-driven side. Supply-driven processes are those that emerge from the formal system, in which centralized authorities control the resources and the process of implementation and then try to work downwards. These systems characterize most development interventions and many other state and market processes. Professionals are comfortable within these practices, having been trained to follow them. Demand-driven processes are those that reverse this conventional system by starting with poor people on the ground – with their realities, their needs, their systems and their initiatives – and then working upwards. This is why ACCA begins with action, with projects and with activities that resolve actual needs, because that is where poor people are strong and where their resourcefulness and pragmatism are manifest.

Making the poor visible. Activities that bring people together around concrete action make the poor more visible. The roads, walkways, drains, toilets, playgrounds and bridges people build with the ACCA small project support are especially powerful in this way. These activities and projects are a powerful demonstration to the city of what people can do, showing that they are not a burden but rather, credible development partners.

Achieving rights and citizenship through action. Usually, the assumption is that all citizens should enjoy certain rights and entitlements, and that it is the role of the state to determine what those are and how they are to be given. But without economic power or legal tenure, the poor usually lack rights and entitlements. The human rights groups argue that the urban poor have to confront the state and make demands. But there is a different way of being recognized and accepted by the formal system, a strategy that avoids confrontation and that builds on the urban poor's culture of compromise and negotiation. When a low-income community builds a paved walkway through their settlement, for example, that action is a declaration of their right to be there and initiates their negotiations for legitimacy.

V. STARTING THE PROCESS IN A CITY WITH A SURVEY AND MAPPING

Poverty isolates, geographically and socially, and most low-income people are too concerned with surviving to give much thought to others in their city. But when people come together and start surveying the other slums in the city, they realize they are not alone, they make new friends and recognize the value in solidarity. The survey is the first step in developing a larger and more structural understanding of the city and the various problems faced by the urban poor. Comparing the similarities and differences between informal settlements, a crucial part of a citywide survey, is the best way for communities to develop that kind of wider understanding about the problems and about their own place in the city. The information enables a collective strategy for tackling these problems, of how to prioritize and select who needs what most urgently; and citywide surveying and mapping are powerful ways of challenging isolation and invisibility. We see many examples of this kind of breakthrough in the ACCA cities.
VI. COMMUNITIES PRIORITIZE AND SELECT PROJECTS COLLECTIVELY

When professionals, development agencies or governments plan something in a city, they are most often the ones who select the project, the target communities and the beneficiaries, and only they know what the agenda or the criteria are or why those communities or those people were chosen. Poor people find themselves either being selected or ignored. Within ACCA, the power of prioritizing and selecting the projects lies with the communities and their networks. This selection process by communities themselves is almost always a little painful. All the problems poor communities face are important for those who experience them directly and personally, and all communities need assistance. The
collective, agreed-upon decisions about the selection of projects are never easily made. But there are several reasons why this is a very important process for the poor in a city to go through together.

The selection of projects is an important learning opportunity, in which people become the subject of development and not just the “object” or the passive beneficiary of someone else’s decisions and someone else’s analysis of what they need. When outsiders retain the power of selection, they create divisions and competition between communities. Resources usually go to the communities that are already strong or to those with good contacts. But when these decisions are informed by a good citywide survey process, the situation is quite different. Through the survey, people come to realize that they are not the only ones with serious problems. This citywide perspective helps people look beyond their own predicament and helps transform a pattern of competition into one of sharing and mutual support. The process of making decisions also helps develop a practice of achieving a consensus in understanding, rule setting and sharing scarce resources. People will think that it is good that they selected a certain community, and because everyone will watch that project, participate in it and learn from it, one settlement’s transformation becomes a showcase project that belongs to all the communities in the city.

**BOX 3**
Spreading out resources to support more initiatives

**PHOTO 2**
The sturdy stone sea wall built by the SAJUSSA community in Davao, Philippines
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Groups in several countries have opted to make the selection process easier by using their ACCA small project funds as revolving fund loans, so some communities can start first and then others in the queue can undertake projects later. Another strategy to stretch these resources is to lower the grant amounts and give them to a larger number of communities, as the Cambodians have done, dividing the US$ 15,000 between 10 to 18 settlements, sometimes with grants of only US$ 500 or US$ 1,000 per community. At first, most groups undertook small projects, using the maximum budget of US$ 3,000, but in the second and third years of ACCA, the idea of spreading out the resources to support more initiatives caught on.

**Davao, Philippines:** The stone sea wall built by the SAJUSSA community in Davao with only US$ 750 support from ACCA has created a new community amenity in place of what was a dangerously eroding seafront. The municipality is now continuing the project, which people in this vulnerable squatter community initiated and built, along the rest of the seafront. Like all their small ACCA projects, the Homeless People’s Federation Philippines Inc. manages the funds as revolving loans to the savings groups, through the city fund.

**Khemara Phoumin, Cambodia:** This small project to bring street lighting and municipal electricity meters to 100 poor coastal squatter families in the Sansom Prak community (using an ACCA grant of US$ 875, plus another US$ 215 from the people) is just one of 10 small projects that have been implemented by poor communities in that city so far; and they still have a further US$ 6,000 left in their ACCA budget for more projects.

VII. MAKING BUDGETS THAT ARE FLEXIBLE AND ACCESSIBLE – BUT SMALL

ACCA sets very modest budget ceilings for most activities that it funds, such as US$ 15,000 for a city that must support at least five small upgrading projects, US$ 40,000 for one big housing project and US$ 3,000 for the various city process activities. These ceilings help make the programme’s finance system simple and clear to everyone, and by de-emphasizing the budgetary aspect allows groups to think more about the real substance of their citywide upgrading process. The ceilings allow the programme’s budget and opportunities to reach as many communities and cities as possible. Although the budgets are small, they are easy and accessible and the groups have a lot of flexibility in how they use these small resources to address the diverse needs in their cities. Paradoxically, the lower the budget, the more seems to get done.

BOX 4
Unleashing creativity and energy in Cambodia

PHOTO 4
The new paved road in the Nesarth community in Khemara Phoumin, Koh Kong province, Cambodia
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- Khemara Phoumin: The 180-metre paved road in a seafront settlement in Khemara Phoumin, in Koh Kong province was managed entirely by young women in the savings group, who used a grant of only US$ 1,075 from ACCA to leverage another US$ 50 from the community, US$ 115 in materials from the local government, US$ 138 from private donors and a strip of donated land for the road from a shopkeeper who lives in the community. The road used to be covered with garbage but residents are now in the process of negotiating secure tenure.
VIII. ACTIVATING THE FUNDS THAT PEOPLE CONTROL THEMSELVES

Finance is one of the most powerful tools in encouraging people’s problem-solving capacities, and the ACCA programme supports the strengthening of community-controlled finance systems in several ways. A savings group is, in many ways, a poor community’s first step towards freedom and equality; this is a step they can take as soon as a few people agree to put small sums into the common pot. When the poor save together and use their collective savings to give each other loans for their various individual and collective needs, they move beyond the economic limitations they face as individuals. When a community has its own fund...

• Samrong: In the northern city of Samrong, the government acquired a large tract of land for settling decommissioned soldiers, at Pha Ong on the outskirts of the city. After lengthy negotiations, the community network used the US$ 40,000 ACCA big project funds to persuade the government to set aside 140 hectares of this large development for resettling 288 poor families evicted from informal settlements – all of which had been identified through the network’s citywide survey. Each family will get a large “self-sufficiency” plot big enough for them to build a house, raise animals and have a small garden, fish-pond and fruit trees. The land, which is worth US$ 1.4 million, is being given free to the people, with individual land title and some basic infrastructure being provided by the district authority. The ACCA funds will support the first batch of 30 housing loans.

like this, they have a new freedom to think about what they would like to
do, with the help of their friends in the savings group. At the same time,
they learn to work together, to manage money together and develop skills
they need in their struggle for secure land and shelter.

There is a significant political dimension to community savings
and to the funds that are managed by individual communities and
by networks of communities within a city. Most of the systems in our
societies – economic and otherwise – have a central top-down authority
that establishes rules around use and access. Community savings comes
from people who have accumulated and pooled their financial resources
either in community savings groups and/or in community-managed city
funds. When a network of communities in a city has a strong savings
process as its base, the savings represents a power that is built from the
ground up. The funds that those communities control are a financial
system that the urban poor manage. The finance that comes from people
on the ground creates its own legitimacy, and the financial systems poor
people create represent an institutionalization of that power that comes
from the ground. (5)

IX. IMPLEMENTING AS MANY PROJECTS AS POSSIBLE THAT
RESOLVE REAL NEEDS

By the end of the second year of ACCA, 549 small upgrading projects
in 549 communities in 102 cities in 15 countries had been approved,
and by now, most of them are finished. The most obvious purpose of
these small projects is to allow communities to make a few much-needed
improvements in their settlements. But this is seen as a starting point
for the real transformation, a transformation in which low-income and
marginalized communities in a city come together to analyze their
situation, determine what they need, design a solution and succeed
in carrying out that solution with their own hands. It is rare for that
kind of power to be given to the poor, and for most of the communities
implementing these small projects, this is their first experience of it. So
besides solving some immediate problems, the communities are energized
through action. This collective process is one in which people change
from being dependents to being the ones who undertake initiatives
themselves, determining their own needs and resolving them right away.
The realization of small projects builds confidence and almost always
leads people onto other projects and other activities such as savings, land
negotiations with the local authority and new partnerships.

Savings builds participation, but it is unlikely that everyone will
choose to save and members tend to be scattered. A physical upgrading
project such as a walkway or a water supply system that affects everyone
in the neighbourhood is a powerful means of reaching out to those not
taking part. Participation is flexible and families may contribute cash or
materials, loan tools, provide construction labour or help cook lunch for
the workers.

Political contexts vary. Many use the small projects as an opportunity
to open up a dialogue with their local government and solicit support
– a “gentle” beginning to a longer-term relationship. Others construct
their small improvement projects without asking permission and use
the projects as part of their negotiating strategy. Either way, the small

5. See the paper by Diane
Archer in this issue of the
Journal.
projects are highly visible and that visibility manifests a new political agenda. This can create tension. When people make these infrastructure improvements they are in some ways challenging their cities and showing an alternative system for delivering services that the city has failed to deliver. But in general, that tension leads to dialogue, to greater visibility and to engagement with the city.

The small upgrading projects catalyze a process for the residents of informal settlements to change their status and to secure land. These small projects are even more powerful when several communities in the city undertake them at the same time and they are accompanied by other elements – the flexible finance, the savings, the collectivity, the citywide information and the housing projects.

X. BUILDING A PLATFORM FOR NEGOTIATION AND PARTNERSHIP IN EACH CITY

Collectivity and self-organizing are important within communities and within networks, but it is also crucial that low-income community organizations and networks engage with their local governments and influence the people who make the decisions and set the policies. This starts with them demonstrating that they are capable, serious and are prepared to go ahead and solve their problems. Initially, the activities supported by ACCA may not bring about any big change. But when those activities are conceived and carried out at a citywide scale, the city government starts noticing. In most cases, the city authorities link with this community activity.

The small projects help build that crucial relationship, and are “stepping stones” to the more difficult issues such as land. Land is of primary importance to the urban poor, because having secure, legal land tenure leads to legitimacy, legality, equality, entitlements and citizenship in a city. To be able to negotiate successfully for land, communities need to be prepared and to have built their collective power. They also need friends and allies in the government who are on their side and who believe in their capacity to bring about change. Public events that mark and celebrate milestones are an important part of this. In cities all over Asia, communities have learned how to stage public events to celebrate the completion of their projects and other milestones. The city officials are always invited as honoured guests to sit on the dais, be draped with garlands, introduced and invited to say a few words. To outsiders, these

---

**BOX 5**

When the Matina Crossing community in the Philippines city of Davao decided to build a bamboo bridge over the tidal creek that separates their settlement from the city, the community was facing eviction. After surviving a typhoon and allowing hundreds of families to flee to safety during flash floods, this 23-metre bamboo bridge has become a famous landmark in Davao and the rallying point for a much more confident community in their negotiations to stay put and upgrade their settlement.

events may look more like theatre than progress, but they are crucial manoeuvres in a proactive political process of making friends, cultivating allies, fortifying negotiations, changing mindsets and publicizing what people can do.

XI. BUILDING NETWORKS OF POOR COMMUNITIES AT CITY AND NATIONAL LEVEL

All ACCA programme activities focus on building a citywide slum upgrading process that is owned and led by low-income communities – not by individual communities in isolation but by strong and well-linked city networks of communities. Networks are essential for several reasons. A community network challenges isolation, linking together scattered squatter settlements and providing a supportive platform. With a community development fund, a network can provide stronger assistance and larger resources to communities and to savings groups for development initiatives too large for their own savings pools. Networks can also play an important balancing role in helping communities to deal with difficult problems or internal conflicts.

A network is also a platform for dealing with the larger and more structural issues of poverty that communities cannot deal with alone – especially the crucial issues of land and access to services. A network is the only mechanism by which the political status of the poor, who have no power as individuals or as isolated communities, can be strengthened and accepted by other stakeholders in the city as a viable development partner, particularly by the local government, where much of the power over those structural issues lies. Networks can bargain; a large network of poor communities represents a vote bank that is difficult for any politician or local authority to ignore. Development projects, NGOs, funding priorities and donor interests change, but a strong community network can assess emerging opportunities and make best use of them,

while providing continuity and a buffer that prevents these changes from undermining the community process.

Networks represent a new scale and a new political dimension for community organizations in cities. To have a national impact requires more than one or two cities. The learning and the possibilities expand greatly when this process is taking place in several cities within a country simultaneously, and networks at the national level – that link all the city level networks – are important. When city networks link with groups in other cities, the breadth and depth of their understanding about what is possible expands considerably.

XII. IMPLEMENTING AT LEAST ONE HOUSING PROJECT TO SHOW A CONCRETE SOLUTION TO LAND AND HOUSING

Most ACCA cities start immediately with one housing project, which is perhaps the most concrete and comprehensive process to further equality and full citizenship. More than anything else, secure, decent, legal housing gives residents full status as registered, legitimate citizens in their cities. A breakthrough in the first housing project is very significant in several ways.

The small projects are important but they are not the central objective for low-income residents; the core objective remains secure land and housing. Undertaking at least one housing project requires community networks to understand the structural issues that housing entails and to demonstrate an actual implementation of housing by people, with support that is as broadly based as possible. When a community undertakes a housing project, whether it is on-site or a relocation, they have to manage the structural issues of land, zoning, trunk infrastructure, access, planning standards, building by-laws and permissions. The housing projects function as intense, hands-on training for people in how their cities and governments function, because in the course of planning and constructing their housing they have to deal with so many rules and regulations and so many agencies and departments. This is training by doing.

The systems that deliver formal housing in our societies today – the market sector developers and the public sector national housing authorities – reinforce the idea that housing is too complicated and difficult for people to produce themselves. However, once one project is completed successfully, other communities understand that they too can begin to address their housing needs directly.

The most crucial issue is land. Access to land most clearly defines who is legal and accepted and who is illegal, not accepted and denied access to benefits. ACCA experience shows that acquiring secure land for housing is not that difficult when people work together and use their survey information, their network strength and their allies to bolster their negotiations. In many ACCA cities public land has never before been given to the poor for housing, but the communities have managed to negotiate for it successfully under a variety of tenure arrangements, even in cities with a bitter history of eviction and antagonism between the poor and their local governments. Most city governments have no information about the number of informal settlements or low-income people in their cities and they have no policy for how to use vacant land or land already occupied by informal settlements. ACCA’s experience is that governments almost always have land, despite the denials they invariably offer.
BOX 7
From squatters to citizens

PHOTO 6A AND 6B
Serey Sophoan, Cambodia – Before and After
© ACHR (August 2009) and © Somsak Phonpikdee (June 2010)

Cambodia – ACCA housing project in Serey Sophoan: 30 riverside squatter families from the Monorom community, who faced both eviction and devastating floods every year, moved to new land two kilometres away and built a new community there with support from the local government, which provided the land and some of the infrastructure for free.

(Continued)
PHOTO 7A AND 7B
Salyani community in Bharatpur, Nepal – Before and After
© ACHR (February 2009) and © ACHR (November 2010)

Nepal – ACCA housing project in Bharatpur: 31 of the city’s lowest-income squatter families, living on the edge of the national forest, negotiated long-term user rights to stay on the public land they already occupied, and then upgraded their houses and community with support from the mayor and the city’s new community support fund.
BOX 7 (Continued)

PHOTO 8A AND 8B
Hlaing Tar Yar township in Yangon, Burma – Before and After
© Chawanad Luansang (August 2010) and © Chawanad Luansang (January 2011)

Burma – ACCA housing project in Hlaing Tar Yar Township, Yangon: 30 low-income squatters living on the outskirts of Yangon got together and negotiated to buy a small, inexpensive piece of agricultural land nearby and developed simple, low-cost housing there, in the country’s first ever community-planned, community-built and collectively owned urban poor housing project.

(Continued)
PHOTO 9A AND 9B
Malibu Matimco community in Mandaue, Philippines – Before and After

© PACSII (October 2008) and © ACHR (March 2012)
How poor communities are paving their own pathways to freedom

The housing projects enable communities in a city to see how the process of planning the new community and new houses (either in situ or on new land) can transform communities. As noted above, low-income communities may have vertical authoritarian power structures, social fragmentation and individualism. A participatory planning process can be another positive way of addressing these problems by making space for everyone to take part and to have a share in creating a new, more horizontal, more equitable and sustainable social system. Planning is a very concrete process, and community people are always much more comfortable in action than in abstraction and concepts. With help from a good architect, planning can be a way for people to unlock their own freedom and to re-set their social system together. As people tape together house models, push around pieces of coloured paper representing scaled house plots on a plan and make decisions about the size and allocation of plots and open spaces, they are giving physical form to that new social system.

XIII. CONCLUSIONS

The experiment that the ACCA programme represents is built around a set of principles and tools that allow poor people and poor communities to take action immediately and on many fronts – to develop and demonstrate an alternative development process in which the people who have been ignored and marginalized in their cities in every possible way are at the centre of a process of transforming their lives, settlements and position in the city.

The programme has been designed to channel the energy, resourcefulness and motivation that already exist within poor communities into a larger, more focused and more collective force to address the large problems of housing, land, access to basic services and to finance at scale, within their communities, their cities, their countries and the Asia region. The programme's tools allow the urban poor to take concrete action, to experiment and, by learning from their experiments

BOX 7 (Continued)

Philippines – ACCA housing project in Mandaue: The Malibu Matimco community, with 311 families, is one of the 11 community associations that occupy a 9.2 hectare squatter settlement on prime land in the centre of Mandaue, and took the lead in negotiations for secure land tenure in one of the Philippines very rare instances of public land being given free to the squatters who occupied it. The people used the ACCA funds (as a loan) to fill the land, and negotiated loans from the Community-Led Infrastructure Finance Facility (CLIFF) and Shack/Slum Dwellers International (SDI) to develop new housing according to the re-blocking plan they developed with community architects.

(and from those of their neighbours), to build their confidence to take on new activities together. Within this they can then move to the next level in a development process, which is the claiming of their basic rights as full and equal citizens in their cities. In the process of surveying their city’s slums, setting up savings groups and city development funds, linking into community networks, implementing small upgrading projects and larger housing projects, negotiating for land and cultivating working relationships with their local governments, the urban poor can see themselves becoming the makers and the deliverers of the development needs that their societies have never provided them with.

In the past three years, the development activities supported by ACCA in more than 150 cities around Asia have created a large pool of new possibilities and ideas for the urban poor, who are often locked by poverty within the limited horizons of their own settlements and their own struggles to survive. Albert Einstein said that “the only source of knowledge is experience”; and what was true for the physicist who changed the way we look at the world is also true for Asia’s urban poor, who are likewise struggling to change the way development happens. This growing pool of new development possibilities and alternative development practice is showing the urban poor that they are not alone, that all these problems can be solved, and that the poor can deliver their own solutions to problems of housing, land, income and services that are cheaper, faster, more efficient, more appropriate and larger in scale than anything the state or the development profession have been able to deliver in the past.
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